LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

FILED
AUG 24 1950
CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1949

No. 455 **455**

AUTOMATIC RADIO MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

HAZELTINE RESEARCH, INC.,

Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S REPLY TO PETITION FOR REHEARING

MILES D. PULLMAN,
National Press Building,
Washington 4, D. C.,
Attorney for Respondent.

PHILIP F. LAFOLLETTE,
LEONARD A. WARNER,
LAWRENCE B. DUNN,
Of Counsel.

JUDICIAL PRINTING CO., INC., 32 Rector St., N. Y.—Hanover 2-0944-46

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1949

No. 455

AUTOMATIC RADIO MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

HAZELTINE RESEARCH, INC.,
Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S REPLY TO PETITION FOR REHEARING

A careful review of Petitioner's Petition for Rehearing reveals that it raises no issues which have not been previously urged by it and fully briefed and argued before this Court by both parties. In large part the Petition assumes non-existent situations having no relation to the facts presented by this case and from these hypothetical assumptions it conjures up imaginary and dire consequences without justification in law, logic, or business experience. Petitioner is tilting at windmills.

In view of the foregoing circumstances it is believed that no useful purpose would be served by rearguing point

by point what has already been fully briefed and argued before this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

MILES D. PILLARS,
National Press Building,
Washington 4, D. C.,
Attorney for Respondent.

PHILIP F. LAFOLLETTE,
LEONARD A. WATSON,
LAURENCE B. DODDS,
Of Counsel.